	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
_	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
4	MARC FISHMAN, Civil Action No.
5	PLAINTIFF, 19-CV-265 (NSR)
J	(VR)
6	-against-
7	CITY OF NEW ROCHELLE,
8	DEFENDANT.
Ü	x
9	300 Quarropas Street
	White Plains, New York 10601
10	December 4, 2023
	10:10 a.m.
11	
12	
13	Examination Before Trial of ANN ELLIOTT, a
	Non-Party Witness in the above-captioned matter,
14	held at the above time and place, before Howard
	Breshin, a Notary Public of the State of New
15	York.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page 2
1		ELLIOTT
2		
	A P	PEARANCES:
3		
4	LAW	OFFICE OF CANER DEMIRAYAK
		Attorneys for Plaintiff
5		300 Cadman Plaza West
		One Pierrepont Plaza - 12th Floor
6		Brooklyn, New York 11201
	BY:	CANER DEMIRAYAK, ESQ.
7		718 344-6048
		canerlawoffice@gmail.com
8		
	THE	QUINN LAW FIRM
9		Attorneys for Defendant
		399 Knollwood Road - Suite 220
10		White Plains, New York 10603
	BY:	LALIT LOOMBA, ESQ.
11		914 997-0555
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

Page 3 1 STIPULATIONS 2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED 3 by and between the attorneys for the 4 respective parties hereto, that this 5 examination may be sworn to before any Notary Public. 6 7 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND 8 AGREED that the sealing and filing of the said examination shall be waived. 9 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND 10 11 AGREED that all objections to questions 12 except as to form shall be reserved for 13 trial. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 83 1 STIPULATIONS 2 Α. No, I was not informed of that. 3 0. Whether you were informed or not, were you concerned that that would happen? 4 5 Α. No, I had no concerns. I didn't feel 6 I did anything that warranted me being arrested. 7 So did you have any concern that you Q. 8 potentially would be arrested or charged with any 9 crime that day? 10 No, because I didn't feel there was a Α. 11 crime. 12 A crime with respect to yourself? Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 How about anyone else that was 0. 15 involved? 16 Α. I didn't think there was a crime 17 either with Mr. Fishman. 18 Q. Did you feel that there may have been 19 a crime with Ms. Bolivar? 20 No, I didn't feel that way. Α. 21 What about with Ms. Solomon, the Ο. 22 mother of the children? 23 No, I didn't feel that way at the Α. 24 time. 25 Q. Okay. Would it be fair to say that

# STIPULATIONS

know, I said, you know, Joanna mentioned about some track meet with her dad, she really wants to, I think she may have gotten in on that conversation. And so Ms. Solomon said, okay, you know, he can come and see you run.

Q. Now, I usually don't preface my questions with commentary but I am probably asking a loaded word, so if you can't answer the way I'm asking, let me know and I'll rephrase it.

In your work with this family, did you feel that Jennifer Solomon was engaging either indirectly or directly in parental alienation against Marc?

MR. LOOMBA: Wait, objection to form. Go ahead.

- A. Yes, I did feel in some sense that was happening.
- Q. Did you feel that Marc Fishman had a processing issue with words and phrases and communication with speaking with someone in your interaction with him?
- A. Initially when I started the case and I did not know about his accident or whatever, I kind of felt that this father, excuse my

# STIPULATIONS

language, is a little slow. But then when he caught onto my, like posture, like what is going on, you know, then he told me about an accident and the surgery and having implants and all of that and being part of the disabled disability thing. So I said -- and he said it was very bad, his memory is just coming back and, you know, being able to process and understand. So I said, oh, okay.

Because in speaking to Ms. Solomon also not sharing that with her but she divulged information to make it seem\_-- maybe that is why that was my perception, you know, he didn't do well in college and he didn't finish, he's not that bright, you know. So I am like, okay, maybe this is the challenges I'm having with getting him to understand or saying something and not getting good results. This is an adult. This is a court order. I said this three times already, why are you not, you know.

So with that information, then I understood a little better that maybe this was, you know, part of what happened in the accident.

Q. I guess just because just thinking

# STIPULATIONS

on our way to the police station, I tried to tell him it is not going to change anything, we are not going to have a visit today. But he insisted that the visit was being ended by Ms., you know, Ms. Solomon where I am telling him I am making the call, right, but he didn't see it that way. He saw that she was doing it, right?

As a supervisor, I could have said to Ms. Solomon, Ms. Solomon, go inside, he does have a visit this morning by court order, we are going to move forward with the visit and move forward. I could have made that decision. But I didn't feel with the talk of the order of protection and stuff even though I didn't see one and Ms. Solomon being so very upset and with all the dynamics that was going on in this case, it was in the best interests of everyone that I said, okay, we are not going to have a visit today. It is just a day and my perception is we'll have future visits, right? So let's everybody quiet, let's move on from today. But it didn't work out that way once we got to the police station.

I didn't even want to go to the police station. I begged him to just drop me off, I

## STIPULATIONS

by hearsay what these two people were saying.

And so in driving past her home was not\_-- maybe he was in the vicinity but he was in a public space, public property which is a street, turning the corner on the street, parked on the side of the street. So I didn't see where any criminal issue\_-- this was no different for me than us being at the rink, he is there, Ms. Solomon is there. This was no different from her being in the same space, which occurred a couple of times, and Mr. Fishman was there even in closer proximity of making the best situation between two feuding parents being in the presence of their children.

- Q. Did you feel that Mr. Fishman would have had difficulty in expressing and communicating what occurred that day to the police officers when they were interviewing him?

  MR. LOOMBA: Objection. Go ahead.
- A. He probably could have. Because when he gets upset, you know, he goes off.
  - Q. He can come off as a little slower?

    MR. LOOMBA: Objection.
  - A. Yes, he will be kind of like jumping

## STIPULATIONS

all over, you know.

Q. So regardless as to what you had spoken to the police officers about, they spoke to him next and it is possible that he may have not expressed himself in a way that clearly explained what happened as the way you saw it had happened?

MR. LOOMBA: Objection to form. Go ahead.

- A. It is quite possible.
- Q. Do you think that there may have been some sort of accommodation or modification that he would need to better trust himself on that day or with you?
- A. I am not sure what that would be. It is not even something that entered my thoughts.

  I just know with my communication, sometimes it did get a little frustrating but as a professional I had to work with that, so yes.

MR. DEMIRAYAK: I have no other questions for you. But I will note my objection again on the record. The request for all emails and text messages to this non-party witness I